

Neurology®

The most widely read and highly cited peer-reviewed neurology journal
The Official Journal of the American Academy of Neurology



Neurology Publish Ahead of Print

DOI: 10.1212/WNL.000000000207751

Clinical Reasoning: A 74-Year-Old Woman Presenting With Monocular Ptosis And Binocular Diplopia

Author(s):

Zhenghui Liu¹; Wei Wei Zhang²; Piaoyu Dai³; Xiaoyan Long¹; Lu Shen^{1,4,5,6,7,8}; Yue-Bei Luo¹

Corresponding Author:

Yue-Bei Luo, yuebei507@csu.edu.cn

Affiliation Information for All Authors: 1. Department of Neurology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China; 2. Department of Radiology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China; 3. Department of Nephrology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China; 4. National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Disorders, Central South University, Changsha, China; 5. Engineering Research Center of Hunan Province in Cognitive Impairment Disorders, Central South University, Changsha, China; 6. Hunan International Scientific and Technological Cooperation Base of Neurodegenerative and Neurogenetic Diseases, Changsha, China; 7. Key Laboratory of Hunan Province in Neurodegenerative Disorders, Central South University, Changsha, China; 8. Key Laboratory of Organ Injury, Aging and Regenerative Medicine of Hunan Province, Changsha, China

Neurology® Published Ahead of Print articles have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication. This manuscript will be published in its final form after copyediting, page composition, and review of proofs. Errors that could affect the content may be corrected during these processes.

Equal Author Contribution:

Weiwei Zhang and Piaoyu Dai contributed equally to this work; co-second author

Contributions:

Yue-Bei Luo: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; Major role in the acquisition of data; Study concept or design; Analysis or interpretation of data; Additional contributions (in addition to one or more of the above criteria)

Zhenghui Liu: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; Major role in the acquisition of data; Study concept or design; Analysis or interpretation of data; Additional contributions (in addition to one or more of the above criteria)

Wei Wei Zhang: Major role in the acquisition of data; Analysis or interpretation of data; Additional contributions (in addition to one or more of the above criteria)

Piaoyu Dai: Major role in the acquisition of data; Analysis or interpretation of data; Additional contributions (in addition to one or more of the above criteria)

Xiaoyan Long: Major role in the acquisition of data; Analysis or interpretation of data; Additional contributions (in addition to one or more of the above criteria)

Lu Shen: Major role in the acquisition of data; Analysis or interpretation of data; Additional contributions (in addition to one or more of the above criteria)

Figure Count:

3

Table Count:

0

Search Terms:

[6] Infarction, [16] Clinical neurology examination, [187] Ocular motility, [194] Diplopia (double vision), [120] MRI

Acknowledgment:**Study Funding:**

The authors report no targeted funding.

Disclosure:

The authors report no relevant disclosures.

Preprint DOI:**Received Date:**

2023-05-26

Accepted Date:

2023-06-20

Handling Editor Statement:

Submitted and externally peer reviewed. The handling editor was Resident and Fellow Deputy Editor Katherine Fu, MD.

Abstract:

A 74-year-old woman presented with acute onset right ptosis and binocular diplopia. Computed tomography scan showed low density lesions in the bilateral basal ganglia and adjacent to lateral ventricles. Intracranial aneurysm was not detected. This case highlights the importance of neurologic localization of ophthalmoplegia based on physical examination and the microanatomy of the oculomotor nerve.

Section 1:

A 74-year-old woman with a past history of hypertension presented with right ptosis and binocular diplopia when she woke up in the morning. She denied prodromal fever or headache. She also denied history of diabetes or recent head trauma. She was admitted to the emergency department of local hospital. On physical examination, her BMI was 26.8 kg/m². Visual acuity was 20/30 for both eyes. Upward movement of the right eye was limited (Figure 1). Eyeball movement towards other directions and pupillary reactivity were normal. Her vertical diplopia also worsened upon upward gaze. No tremor, ataxia, choreiform movement or limb weakness was found. Other neurological examinations were unremarkable. Computed tomography (CT) scan showed low density lesions in bilateral basal ganglia adjacent to lateral ventricles. Cranial CT angiography (CTA) did not detect intracranial aneurysm or stenosis.

The patient was referred to our hospital for further evaluation six days later. Laboratory investigations including full blood count, electrolytes, blood glucose, renal and liver function, erythrocyte sedimentation rate and antinuclear antibodies were unrevealing. The level of triglycerides was 2.14mmol/L (normal range 0.45-1.69). Repeated electrical stimulation was negative.

Questions for consideration

Where is the lesion responsible for the monocular ptosis and binocular diplopia?

What is the differential diagnosis for a monocular ptosis along with diplopia?

What further testing would you perform?

Section 2

The distribution and temporal progression of the paralytic extraocular muscles hold clues to the anatomical location of the lesion. Critical characteristics of ophthalmoplegia include: unilateral or bilateral, persistent or fluctuant, and the distribution of paralytic muscles. Monocular, nonfluctuating and isolated extraocular muscle paralysis suggests lesions in a peripheral nerve instead of muscle or neuromuscular junction, which would paralyze muscles that do not follow nerve innervation pattern¹. Coexistence of right ptosis and vertical binocular diplopia suggests levator palpebrae and superior rectus palsy on the right side.

The central caudal subnucleus of the third cranial nerve (CNIII) nucleus gives rise to crossed and uncrossed fibers that innervate bilateral levator palpebrae superioris², i.e., supranuclear and nuclear palsy of the CNIII results in bilateral ptosis. The lesion for unilateral ptosis and upward palsy is therefore located to structures peripheral to oculomotor nucleus. Before entering the superior orbital fissure, the oculomotor nerve separates as superior and inferior branches. Levator palpebrae and superior rectus are innervated by the superior branch. Ksiazek proposed a 3-dimensional anatomic model for oculomotor nerve³. The topographic arrangement of oculomotor fascicles is arranged as shown by Figure 2. Such model supports the notion that a divisional oculomotor nerve paresis may occur from damage at any location along the course of the oculomotor nerve once it leaves the nuclei, instead of just cavernous sinus or orbit, where superior and inferior divisional palsy have classically been located⁴. In this sense, the presence of monocular ptosis and vertical binocular diplopia localizes the lesion to the any course of the oculomotor nerve from the fascicle to the orbit part.

Differential diagnoses of persistent unilateral oculomotor nerve palsy include intrinsic (such as diabetes, infarction, and central nervous system infection) and extrinsic causes (such as aneurysm, trauma, cavernous sinus lesion, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and neurovascular conflict)⁵. Neurovascular contact is a scenario in which a vessel contacts a nerve with the disappearance of CSF signal in between. It is most often seen in asymptomatic individuals. In comparison, neurovascular conflict is defined as a direct perpendicular compression between an artery and the root entry/exit zone of a cranial nerve. The diagnosis can only be made when there is nerve deviation or indentation⁶. Based on different etiologies, painful ophthalmoplegia can be either intrinsic or extrinsic cause. CTA of this patient did not reveal any intracranial vascular abnormalities. Neither was there laboratory evidence for hyperglycemia or central nervous system (CNS) infection. Oculomotor damage due to cavernous sinus lesion and painful ophthalmoplegia is often accompanied by paralysis of trigeminal, trochlear and abducens nerves. Isolated partial oculomotor nerve paresis is extremely rare in these two conditions. The nonfluctuating nature of ophthalmoplegia in this patient and negative repeated electrical stimulation test make neuromuscular junction disorders unlikely.

Further investigatory tests were performed. Synoptophore test revealed right superior rectus palsy, confirming physical examination findings. Brain MRI revealed hyperintensity of periventricular and deep white matter matching the results of CT scan, suggesting previous lacunar stroke. Diffusion-weighted image (DWI, Slice Thickness 5 mm) showed a diffusion restricted lesion of 8mm diameter involving the medial part of the right cerebral peduncle (Figure 3). Three-dimensional constructive interference in steady state (3D CISS) MRI (Slice Thickness 0.4 mm) revealed subtle swelling and deviation of right oculomotor nerve in interpeduncular cistern. The right superior cerebellar artery (SCA) compresses from behind the oculomotor nerve, resulting in absence of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) signal in between (Figure 3). High-resolution magnetic resonance vessel wall imaging (HRMR-VWI, Slice Thickness 0.6 mm) showed stenosis and a plaque in the origin of right posterior cerebral artery (PCA, Figure 3).

Question for consideration

Which is the culprit lesion for the partial oculomotor palsy?

Section 3

Physical examination and synoptophore test of this patient suggest lesions in fibers innervating the right superior rectus and levator palpebrae and sparing the pupillomotor fibers. Based on the imaging results, midbrain lacunar ischemic stroke and neurovascular conflict are candidate reasons for the isolated oculomotor nerve palsy. The right SCA compresses the inferior and medial portion part of the nerve (Figure 3). Topography of the CNIII nerve makes it unlikely that the SCA compression could spare the medial and dorsal pupillary fibers^{7,8} (Figure 2). Moreover, the presence of multiple stroke risk factors (older age, gender, hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity) supports a cerebrovascular cause in this patient. We therefore deem that the compression of the CNIII nerve by SCA is not responsible for this partial oculomotor palsy. The acute midbrain infarction accorded with the third nerve fascicular topography³ (Figure 2). The stenotic PCA blocked the medial artery group that supplies the brainstem section of the oculomotor fascicles² (Figure 3). We conclude that the midbrain infarction between the red nucleus and cerebral peduncle accounts for the monocular ptosis and diplopia. The American Academy of Neurology's guideline for symptomatic intracranial atherosclerotic arterial stenosis recommends three months of dual antiplatelet therapy (clopidogrel and aspirin) for severe stenosis (70%-99%) to reduce further stroke risk⁹. Given she had excessive gingival bleeding when on aspirin, only clopidogrel was given as mono antiplatelet therapy. Lipid-lowering treatment was also initiated. Follow up one month later indicates that the ptosis was significantly improved and the diplopia disappeared.

Discussion

A combination of monocular ptosis and binocular diplopia is characteristic of superior CNIII nerve palsy. Fascicular oculomotor nerve palsy secondary to midbrain lacunar stroke is rare in clinical practice. In his first report of such case, Hriso proposed that the fibers destined to the elevators of the eye and eyelid coursed laterally in nerve fascicle¹⁰. Choi reviewed the literature of similar cases and located the lesion in overlapping regions between the red nucleus and cerebral peduncle in the midbrain¹¹.

Lacunar stroke (LS) is a type of ischemic stroke with lesion diameter ranging from 3mm to 20mm. It is attributed to occlusion of small deep penetrating branches of cerebral arteries. Etiology of LS includes lipohyalinosis, atherosclerosis, branch atheromatous disease (BAD) and embolism. Fisher first coined the term 'lipohyalinosis' as fibrinoid material accumulation and collagenous sclerosis of the wall of small vessels. Lipohyalinosis is considered secondary to uncontrolled hypertension¹². For an alternative pathomechanism of LS, BAD was first described by Caplan¹³ as a pathological finding of occlusion at the origin of a deep penetrating artery due to a microatheroma or a parent vessel atheromatous plaque. Lesions associated with BAD are located proximally along the perforator artery, usually larger than cerebral small vascular disease-related LS¹⁴. Diagnosis of BAD was previously based on the vascular territory, size and/or shape of the infarcts as identified by conventional imaging methods. More advanced HR-MRI can show artery wall changes and aid BAD diagnosis¹⁵. LS can also be attributed to embolism arising from the carotid, aortic arch or heart, or watershed infarction between adjacent vascular territories. The lesion of our patient meets the size and the non-cortical and non-watershed location of LS. The atheromatous plaque in the right PCA most likely resulted in occlusion of a perforating artery from PCA and the infarction which compromises the fibers that innervate superior rectus and levator palpebrae (Figure 2).


As discussed above, our patient fulfilled the criteria of neurovascular conflict. Nevertheless, this conflict does not accord with the symptomatology of this patient according to the topography of oculomotor nerve. So the final diagnosis of this patient is fascicular oculomotor nerve palsy caused by midbrain lacunar ischemic stroke secondary to BAD of the ipsilateral PCA.

Monocular partial oculomotor palsy bears many differential diagnoses. Meticulous history questioning and physical examination pave way for correct localization of the lesion. Careful selection of pertinent investigation would limit the economic cost and ensure identification of the underlying cause. More advanced imaging such as 3D-CISS and HRMR-VWI enables illustration of fine changes of intracranial structures that are otherwise undetected by conventional imaging tools.

ACCEPTED

References:

1. Keene KR, Kan HE, van der Meeren S, et al. Clinical and imaging clues to the diagnosis and follow-up of ptosis and ophthalmoparesis. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2022.
2. Park HK, Rha HK, Lee KJ, Chough CK, Joo W. Microsurgical Anatomy of the Oculomotor Nerve. *Clin Anat* 2017;30:21-31.
3. Ksiazek SM, Slamovits TL, Rosen CE, Burde RM, Parisi F. Fascicular Arrangement in Partial Oculomotor Paresis. *American Journal of Ophthalmology* 1994;118:97-103.
4. Ksiazek SM, Repka MX, Maguire A, et al. Divisional oculomotor nerve paresis caused by intrinsic brainstem disease. *Ann Neurol* 1989;26:714-718.
5. Sivasubramaniyan KM, Nagarajan K, Rajeswari A, Sathiaprabhu A. A Case of Oculomotor Nerve Palsy caused by Neurovascular Compression by the Fetal Posterior Communicating Artery with a Review of Literature. *Neurol India* 2019;67:1390-1392.
6. Borges A, Casselman J. Imaging the cranial nerves: part II: primary and secondary neoplastic conditions and neurovascular conflicts. *Eur Radiol* 2007;17:2332-2344.
7. Miyazaki S. Location of motoneurons in the oculomotor nucleus and the course of their axons in the oculomotor nerve. *Brain Res* 1985;348:57-63.
8. Atasever A, Durgun B, Celik HH, Yilmaz E. Somatotopic organization of the axons innervating the superior rectus muscle in the oculomotor nerve of the rat. *Acta Anat (Basel)* 1993;146:251-254.
9. Turan TN, Zaidat OO, Gronseth GS, et al. Stroke Prevention in Symptomatic Large Artery Intracranial Atherosclerosis Practice Advisory: Report of the AAN Guideline Subcommittee. *NEUROLOGY* 2022;98:486-498.
10. Hriso E, Masdeu JC, Miller A. Monocular elevation weakness and ptosis: an oculomotor fascicular syndrome? *J Clin Neuroophthalmol* 1991;11:111-113.
11. Choi YJ, Lee SH, Park MS, Kim BC, Kim MK. Midbrain infarction presenting with monocular elevation palsy and ptosis: topographic lesion analysis. *J Neuroophthalmol* 2015;35:175-178.
12. Fisher CM. Lacunar strokes and infarcts: a review. *NEUROLOGY* 1982;32:871-876.
13. Caplan LR. Intracranial branch atheromatous disease: a neglected, understudied, and underused concept. *NEUROLOGY* 1989;39:1246-1250.
14. Nah HW, Kang DW, Kwon SU, Kim JS. Diversity of single small subcortical infarctions according to infarct location and parent artery disease: analysis of indicators for small vessel disease and atherosclerosis. *STROKE* 2010;41:2822-2827.
15. Petrone L, Nannoni S, Del Bene A, Palumbo V, Inzitari D. Branch Atheromatous Disease: A Clinically Meaningful, Yet Unproven Concept. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2016;41:87-95.



ACCEPTED

Figure 2: Illustration of the CNIII microanatomy in the cistern space and the diagram of the C-NIII nerve fascicular topography in the midbrain (not to scale)

(A) Illustration of the CNIII microanatomy. The hatched area demonstrating the region of the neurovascular conflict in our patient showing the possibly involved CNIII fascicles, which is not in accordance with symptomatology. (B) Diagram of the topographic fascicular arrangement of the CNIII nerve. The hatched area showing the midbrain lesion in our patient. IO, inferior oblique; SR, superior rectus; LP, levator palpebrae; MR, medial rectus; IR, inferior rectus; P, pupillary fibers.





Figure 3 : Neuroimaging

(A) restricted diffusion involving the medial aspect of the right cerebral peduncle. (B-F) Axial (B, C), coronal (D, showing right CNIII nerve), sagittal (E, right CNIII nerve; F, left CNIII nerve) 3D-CISS MRI showing the right SCA (arrowhead) indenting the inferior and medial part of the CNIII nerve (arrow) in the cistern space, resulting in nerve extension and deviation. HRMR-VWI showed stenosis and a plaque in the origin of right PCA (G-H, arrow).



Neurology®

Clinical Reasoning: A 74-Year-Old Woman Presenting With Monocular Ptosis And Binocular Diplopia

Zhenghui Liu, Wei Wei Zhang, Piaoyu Dai, et al.
Neurology published online August 14, 2023
DOI 10.1212/WNL.000000000207751

This information is current as of August 14, 2023

| | |
|---|--|
| Updated Information & Services | including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/early/2023/08/14/WNL.000000000207751.full |
| Subspecialty Collections | This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Clinical neurology examination http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/clinical_neurology_examination Diplopia (double vision) http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/diplopia_double_vision Infarction http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/infarction MRI http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/mri Ocular motility http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/ocular_motility |
| Permissions & Licensing | Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions |
| Reprints | Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise |

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2023 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

